**Fiscal Accuracy (FA) Instrument**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Compliance** | | | | |
| **Supporting Documentation** | | | | | |
| 1. Were required documents signed, dated, and filed correctly? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The entitlement decision was not documented on 28-1902b as required. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1902b, was not signed by the VRC as required. |  | | | | |
| 1. Rehabilitation plan was not present in the VR&E record. |  | | | | |
| 1. Rehabilitation plan was not signed by the Veteran. |  | | | | |
| 1. Rehabilitation plan was not signed by the VRC. |  | | | | |
| 1. Anticipated completion date on the plan was expired when services were authorized. |  | | | | |
| 1. Duration of services dates for the applicable plan objective were expired when services were authorized. |  | | | | |
| 1. Services (including training or provision of equipment or supplies) required to meet the overall goal were not outlined on the rehabilitation plan. |  | | | | |
| 1. The record did not contain justification for purchase or reimbursement of required supplies or equipment. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1905m was not present and/or complete for supplies or equipment purchased outside a training facility. |  | | | | |
| 1. No documented justification for high cost facility was present. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was required concurrence documented prior to expenditure? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. VREO concurrence was not documented when required. |  | | | | |
| 1. RO Director concurrence was not documented when required. |  | | | | |
| 1. VR&E Service Director concurrence was not documented when required. |  | | | | |
| 1. Were rate election and effective date documented? | Yes | | No | | NA |
| 1. P911SA rate election was not documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate effective date was not documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. Regular CH31 rate re-election was not documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. Chapter 30 rate election was not documented. |  | | | | |
| **Subsistence Allowance** | | | | | |
| 1. Was a valid 28-1905 documented in the record to authorize services? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. 28-1905 was not present to authorize the enrollment period. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1905 was not present to authorize the school bookstore purchase, if required. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1905 was not signed by the case manager. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1905 listed the incorrect facility code. |  | | | | |
| 1. 28-1905 authorized a period in excess of one academic year. |  | | | | |
| 1. Did documentation support enrollment and/or attendance when subsistence was paid? | Yes | | No | | NA |
| 1. Enrollment was not certified by the training facility, for the period in which subsistence was paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. There was no 28-1905c, Monthly Report of Training and Wages, (or 28-1917 if appropriate) for the period in which subsistence was paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was each facility listed on the rehabilitation plan, with the primary facility identified, when the Veteran is training at two or more facilities? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Was the Veteran qualified to receive Subsistence Allowance when it was paid? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The individual was on Active Duty. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Veteran was participating in an OJT less than full-time. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Veteran was participating at less than ½ time and received subsistence without the proper documentation. |  | | | | |
| 1. The duration of undergraduate training was less than one week. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the beginning and ending date of the award/ enrollment period correct? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The incorrect start date was used on the award. |  | | | | |
| 1. The incorrect end date was used on the award. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the subsistence allowance paid using the correct rate of pursuit? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Standard term: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Non-standard term: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Overlapping period when two or more standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Overlapping period when two or more non-standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Overlapping period when two or more standard and non-standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Overlapping period when clock hour training and credit hour training overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Clock hour training: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Graduate or advanced professional training: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. The customary vacation/holiday of 7 days or more was not deducted when calculating the length of the term, which resulted in an incorrect rate of pursuit. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the subsistence allowance award paid for the correct fiscal year (FY)/calendar year (CY)? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Regular CH31 rate was paid for the incorrect FY. |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate was paid for the incorrect CY. |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate was incorrectly protected, or not protected when appropriate. |  | | | | |
| 1. Did the award reflect the correct number of dependents? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Number of dependents on award was inconsistent with documentation. |  | | | | |
| 1. The dependent(s) was added to the award on the incorrect date. |  | | | | |
| 1. The dependent(s) was removed from the award on the incorrect date. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the P911SA rate based on the correct BAH rate? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The P911SA rate was not paid at the rate for E-5 with dependents for the zip code of the authorized facility. |  | | | | |
| 1. Online: The P911SA rate was not paid at the correct rate when training was solely in-home or online. |  | | | | |
| 1. More than one facility: The P911SA was not paid at the correct rate when the Veteran attended more than one facility. |  | | | | |
| 1. OHA: The P911SA was not paid at the correct rate when the Veteran attended a facility in a US Territory. |  | | | | |
| 1. Foreign institution: The P911SA was not paid at the correct rate when the Veteran is attending a foreign institution with no assigned zip code. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the P911SA rate paid correctly based on the first use of CH31 entitlement? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The Uncapped P911SA rate was incorrectly paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Capped P911SA rate was incorrectly paid. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was subsistence allowance paid at the correct rate for an OJT/Apprenticeship? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Was subsistence allowance correctly adjusted for enrollment changes (reductions/withdrawals/additions)? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Mitigating circumstances were not correctly developed. |  | | | | |
| 1. The award was not correctly amended when mitigating circumstances were established. |  | | | | |
| 1. The award was not correctly amended when mitigating circumstances were not established. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Six Credit Exclusion was not applied or was applied incorrectly. |  | | | | |
| 1. Punitive grades were assigned and the award was not adjusted effective the last date of attendance. |  | | | | |
| 1. When the award was adjusted, the correct rate of pursuit was not applied. |  | | | | |
| 1. When the award was adjusted, the correct dates were not used. |  | | | | |
| 1. When non-payment of subsistence allowance occurred, was a valid justification provided and was the Veteran notified? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. No justification was documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. Veteran was not notified of non-payment. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was subsistence allowance processed timely? | Yes | No | | N/A | |
| **Employment Adjustment Allowance** | | | | | |
| 1. Was the Veteran eligible to receive EAA when authorized and paid? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. The Veteran did not participate in training at a VA approved facility while in RTE status. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Job Ready Decision was not documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. A valid IEAP or IWRP/IEAP was not included in the record. |  | | | | |
| 1. Contact was not established with the Veteran and documented to verify participation in planned employment services for a period of at least 30 days. |  | | | | |
| 1. The Veteran’s case was not in JR status. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was the correct amount paid for each EAA award? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. CH31 rate: Incorrect fiscal year. |  | | | | |
| 1. CH31 rate: Incorrect number of dependents. |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate: Inconsistent with rate paid for the type of training the Veteran was last pursuing (zip code, locality pay, capped vs. uncapped, etc.). |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate: Incorrect rate paid when the Veteran last pursued training solely online. |  | | | | |
| 1. P911SA rate: incorrect rate for the CY or protected rate. |  | | | | |
| 1. Was EAA authorized timely? | Yes | No | | N/A | |
| 1. When a denial of EAA occurred, was a valid justification provided and was the Veteran notified? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. No justification was documented. |  | | | | |
| 1. Veteran was not notified of non-payment. |  | | | | |
| 1. When the Veteran received additional payments of EAA, did documentation support this payment? | Yes | No | | NA | |
| 1. Plan was not redeveloped with a new vocational goal. |  | | | | |
| 1. Documentation did not support payment of additional EAA due to natural disaster. |  | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Other Expenditures** | | | |
| 1. Were criteria for Retroactive Induction payment met? | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. Were criteria for Retroactive Reimbursement met? | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. Was Revolving Fund Loan authorized and paid correctly? | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. The individual was not eligible to receive an RFL. |  | | |
| 1. Required documentation was not present to support authorization of RFL. |  | | |
| 1. Was beneficiary travel authorized and paid correctly? | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. Were expenditures allowable? | Yes | No | NA |
| 1. The Veteran was receiving benefits under Chapter 31 and another VA Education program (duplication of benefits occurred). |  | | |
| 1. Purchased items or services were not allowed. |  | | |
| 1. Duplicate payment, purchase, or reimbursement. |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reviews (For tracking only. Not included in score calculation. Answering No does not result in an error.)** | | | |
| 1. Was the requested Higher-Level Review completed in an accurate and timely manner? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 1. The requested review was not completed accurately. |  | | |
| 1. The review was not completed in a timely manner (90 days or less from date of request). |  | | |
| 1. The review was not completed by a more experienced VRC than the individual who made the decision. |  | | |
| 1. The review indicated a Duty to Assist error, but a Supplemental Claim Review was not initiated. |  | | |
| 1. The requested informal conference was not provided. |  | | |
| 1. Was the requested Supplemental Review completed in an accurate and timely manner? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 1. The requested review was not completed accurately. |  | | |
| 1. The review was not completed in a timely manner (125 days or less from date of request or identification of a Duty to Assist error). |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IPERA (For tracking only. Not included in score. Answering No does not result in a fiscal error.)** | | | |
| 1. Was the correct amount paid? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 1. An overpayment was made requiring correction or documentation of an Admin Error if appropriate. List amount if known. |  | | |
| 1. An overpayment was made as a result of an Admin Error, which is correctly documented. List amount if known. |  | | |
| 1. An underpayment was made requiring correction. List amount if known. |  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **General Comments:** |
|  |