Rehabilitation Service Delivery Accuracy (RSDA) Instrument

Question		Compliance		
Gene	ral			
	Was data entered correctly and consistently in the VR&E record?	Yes	No	NA
	Case status dates were not consistent with documentation in the VR&E record.			
	B. SEH indicator was not consistent.			
	C. Pre-CH31 salary was not consistent.			
	D. Selected track was not consistent.			
2.	Were required documents signed, dated, and filed correctly?	Yes	No	NA
	VAF 28-1902b, Certification of Entitlement and Counseling Narrative, was missing or unsigned.			
	 VAF 28-0957, VR Guidelines and Debt Prevention, was missing or unsigned. 			
	C. Rehabilitation Plan was missing or unsigned.			
	D. Rehabilitation Plan had expired.			
	E. 28-1905, Authorization and Certification of Entrance or Reentrance into Rehabilitation and Certification Status, was missing or unsigned.			
3.	Did the case comply with regulatory time limits?	Yes	No	NA
	A. Duration of employment services exceeded 18 months.			
	B. Duration of IL services exceeded 24 months (or 30/36 months with concurrence).			
	C. Duration of EE services exceeded 12 months (or 18/24 months with proper documentation and concurrence).			
4.	Was required concurrence documented?	Yes	No	NA
	A. Appropriate level of concurrence for program cost was not documented.			
	B. Entitlement extension concurrence was not documented.			
	C. IEEP extension beyond 12 months was not documented.			
	 Approval of more than one term of academic training in an IEEP was not documented. 			
	IILP concurrence was not documented prior to implementing the plan.			
	 F. IL extension beyond 24 months: concurrence was not documented. 			
	G. IL extension beyond 36 months: concurrence of Director of VR&E Service was not documented.			
	 H. Reimbursement for a firearm occurred with no documented concurrence. 			
	I. Retroactive induction concurrence was not documented.			
	Retroactive reimbursement concurrence was not documented.			
	K. Self-employment plan concurrence was not documented.			
5.	Were case management appointments and contacts documented at the required frequency?	Yes	No	NA

	A. Initial case management appointment was not documented within the required 30/60 days of plan			
	development. B. Contact was not consistent with documented Level 1			
	contact.			
	C. Contact was not consistent with documented Level 2 contact.			
	 D. Contact was not consistent with documented Level 3 contact. 			
	E. Interrupted – Unplanned: Interval between contact exceeded 90 days.			
	F. Interrupted – Planned: Contact schedule was not documented or contact exceeded the 120-day mark.			
6	Were case management appointments, contacts, and	Yes	No	NA
0.	follow-up appropriately documented?	163	INO	INA
	A. Case management appointments: Documentation did not		П	
	address progress in the rehabilitation plan, and did not		_	
	cover vocational, medical, financial, academic, or other			
	issues impacting progress.			
	B. Contacts: Documentation did not address the Veteran's			
	progress in the rehabilitation plan or provide follow-up on			
	identified issues.			
	 IL: All issues identified on the Case Support Checklist were not addressed. 		Ш	
7	Did the record contain the documentation required in	Yes	No	NA
7.	·	168	INO	INA
	support of regular progress reviews as appropriate? A. Grades or transcripts were not documented to show		П	
	progress in the rehabilitation plan.		Ш	
	B. Job logs or other evidence was not documented to show progress in employment services.			
	C. VAF 28-0852, Case Support Checklist: IL Case Support			
	Checklist, was not documented when required. D. VAF 28-1905c, Monthly Report of Training and Wages,		П	
	was not documented when required.		Ш	
8.	Was an annual review of the plan documented?	Yes	No	NA
9.	Was the plan amended when required?	Yes	No	NA
<u>J.</u>	A. Plan was not amended as needed.	163		INA
	B. The plan was not amended when additional services			
	were required.			
	C. The plan was not amended when the Veteran attended training at a different facility.			
	D. The plan was not amended when the goal changed.			
	E. The rehab plan and/or active objectives were expired.			
10	. Were referrals made when needed?	Yes	No	NA
	A. The Veteran was not referred to VHA as needed.			
	B. The Veteran was not referred to other VA resources as needed.			
	C. The case was not referred to the VRP as needed.			
	D. The Veteran was not provided referrals to campus or			
	community resources as needed.			
	E. The Veteran was not referred to DOL as needed/required.			
11	. Was case status movement consistent with	Yes	No	NA
	documentation?			

12. Was the case moved to Interrupted status when	Yes	No	NA
appropriate and is required documentation in the file?			
A. Reasons for interruption, expected outcome, actions to			
be completed during interruption, and expected date of return were not documented.			
B. Extensive efforts were not made to contact the Veteran			
prior to Interruption when contact was lost.			
C. The Veteran was not informed of interruption in writing.			
□ EE Status			
13. Were the services provided in EE status designed to	Yes	No	NA
resolve the question of feasibility?			
A. Services outlined on the IEEP would not resolve the			
question of feasibility to achieve a vocational goal.			
B. Services consist solely of academic training.			
14. Was the feasibility determination documented after the	Yes	No	NA
provision of Extended Evaluation services?			
□ <u>IL Status</u>	l v	1	N 1 A
15. Was collaboration with the appropriate VA entities completed, as needed?	Yes	No	NA
16. When the comprehensive IL assessment indicated a	Yes	No	NA
need for home adaptations, was a referral made to			
SAH?			
□ JR Status			
17. Was the IEAP developed at least 60 days prior to the	Yes	No	NA
completion of training?			
18. Was the Job Ready Declaration documented and	Yes	No	NA
complete?			
A. There was no documented Job Ready Declaration.			
B. The Job Ready Declaration did not clearly explain			
that there were no impediments in the Veteran's			
ability to obtain or maintain employment. C. There was no evidence of contact with the Veteran			
while the case manager was making the job ready		Ш	
determination.			
D. Documentation did not support the Job Ready			
Declaration.			
Reviews (For tracking only. Not included in score. Answering No does not			
19. Was the requested Higher-Level Review completed in	Yes	No	N/A
an accurate and timely manner?			
A. The requested review was not completed accurately.			
B. The review was not completed in a timely manner (90 days or less from date of request).			
C. The review was not completed by a more experienced			
VRC than the individual who made the decision. D. The review indicated a Duty to Assist error, but a		П	
Supplemental Claim Review was not initiated.			
E. The requested informal conference was not provided.			
20. Was the requested Supplemental Review completed	Yes	No	N/A
in an accurate and timely manner?	-	-	
A. The requested review was not completed accurately.			

B.	The review was not completed in a timely manner (125 days or less from date of request or identification of a Duty to Assist error).				
☐ General Comments					