## Fiscal Accuracy (FA) Instrument

	Question		Compliance		
Supporting Docu	Imentation				
	ed documents signed, dated, and filed	Yes	No	NA	
	itlement decision was not documented on 28- as required.				
B. 28-1902	2b, was not signed by the VRC as required.				
record.	litation plan was not present in the VR&E				
D. Rehabil	litation plan was not signed by the Veteran.				
E. Rehabil	litation plan was not signed by the VRC.				
when se	ated completion date on the plan was expired ervices were authorized.				
objectiv	n of services dates for the applicable plan we were expired when services were authorized.				
supplies outlined	s (including training or provision of equipment or s) required to meet the overall goal were not d on the rehabilitation plan.				
reimbur	ord did not contain justification for purchase or sement of required supplies or equipment.				
	5m was not present and/or complete for s or equipment purchased outside a training				
K. No docu present	umented justification for high cost facility was				
	d concurrence documented prior to	Yes	No	NA	
expenditure?	concurrence was not documented when				
required					
required					
docume	Service Director concurrence was not ented when required.				
	ection and effective date documented?	Yes	No	NA	
	A rate election was not documented.				
	A rate effective date was not documented.				
•	r CH31 rate re-election was not documented. r 30 rate election was not documented.				
	28-1905 documented in the record to	Yes	No	NA	
A. 28-1905 period.	5 was not present to authorize the enrollment				
B. 28-1905	5 was not present to authorize the school prepurchase, if required.				
	5 was not signed by the case manager.				
	5 listed the incorrect facility code.				
	5 authorized a period in excess of one academic				

5. Did documentation support enrollment and/or	Yes	No	NA
attendance when subsistence was paid?	165	INU	11/4
A. Enrollment was not certified by the training facility, for the period in which subsistence was paid.			
<ul> <li>B. There was no 28-1905c, Monthly Report of Training and Wages, (or 28-1917 if appropriate) for the period in which subsistence was paid.</li> </ul>			
6. Was each facility listed on the rehabilitation plan, with the primary facility identified, when the Veteran is training at two or more facilities?	Yes	No	NA
<ol><li>Was the Veteran qualified to receive Subsistence Allowance when it was paid?</li></ol>	Yes	No	NA
A. The individual was on Active Duty.			
<ul> <li>B. The Veteran was participating in an OJT less than full- time.</li> </ul>			
C. The Veteran was participating at less than ½ time and received subsistence without the proper documentation.			
<ul> <li>D. The duration of undergraduate training was less than one week.</li> </ul>			
8. Was the beginning and ending date of the award/ enrollment period correct?	Yes	No	NA
A. The incorrect start date was used on the award.			
B. The incorrect end date was used on the award.			
9. Was the subsistence allowance paid using the correct rate of pursuit?	Yes	No	NA
A. Standard term: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.			
B. Non-standard term: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.			
C. Overlapping period when two or more standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.			
D. Overlapping period when two or more non-standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.			
E. Overlapping period when two or more standard and non-standard terms overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.			
<ul> <li>F. Overlapping period when clock hour training and credit hour training overlap: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>G. Clock hour training: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>H. Graduate or advanced professional training: The correct rate of pursuit was not paid.</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The customary vacation/holiday of 7 days or more was not deducted when calculating the length of the term, which resulted in an incorrect rate of pursuit.</li> </ol>			
10. Was the subsistence allowance award paid for the correct fiscal year (FY)/calendar year (CY)?	Yes	No	NA
A. Regular CH31 rate was paid for the incorrect FY.			
B. P911SA rate was paid for the incorrect CY.			
C. P911SA rate was incorrectly protected, or not protected when appropriate.			

44 Did the event wells at the serves at sweep on of	Vee	Nia	NIA	
11. Did the award reflect the correct number of	Yes	No	NA	
dependents?				
<ul> <li>A. Number of dependents on award was inconsistent with documentation.</li> </ul>				
B. The dependent(s) was added to the award on the				
incorrect date.				
C. The dependent(s) was removed from the award on the				
incorrect date.		1		
12. Was the P911SA rate based on the correct BAH rate?	Yes	No	NA	
A. The P911SA rate was not paid at the rate for E-5 with				
dependents for the zip code of the authorized facility.				
B. Online: The P911SA rate was not paid at the correct				
rate when training was solely in-home or online.C. More than one facility: The P911SA was not paid at				
the correct rate when the Veteran attended more than				
one facility.				
D. OHA: The P911SA was not paid at the correct rate				
when the Veteran attended a facility in a US Territory.				
E. Foreign institution: The P911SA was not paid at the				
correct rate when the Veteran is attending a foreign				
institution with no assigned zip code.		1		
13. Was the P911SA rate paid correctly based on the first	Yes	No	NA	
use of CH31 entitlement?				
A. The Uncapped P911SA rate was incorrectly paid.				
B. The Capped P911SA rate was incorrectly paid.				
14. Was subsistence allowance paid at the correct rate for	Yes	No	NA	
an OJT/Apprenticeship?				
15. Was subsistence allowance correctly adjusted for	Yes	No	NA	
enrollment changes (reductions/withdrawals/additions)?				
A. Mitigating circumstances were not correctly developed.				
B. The award was not correctly amended when mitigating circumstances were established.				
C. The award was not correctly amended when mitigating circumstances were not established.				
<ul> <li>D. The Six Credit Exclusion was not applied or was applied incorrectly.</li> </ul>				
E. Punitive grades were assigned and the award was not				
adjusted effective the last date of attendance.				
F. When the award was adjusted, the correct rate of pursuit was not applied.				
G. When the award was adjusted, the correct dates were				
not used.				
	Yes	No	NA	
16. When non-payment of subsistence allowance occurred,	res	No	INA	
was a valid justification provided and was the Veteran notified?				
A. No justification was documented.				
B. Veteran was not notified of non-payment.				
17. Was subsistence allowance processed timely?	Yes	No	N/A	
	165		IN/A	
Employment Adjustment Allowance				
18. Was the Veteran eligible to receive EAA when	Yes	No	NA	
authorized and paid?				
A. The Veteran did not participate in training at a VA				
approved facility while in RTE status.				

B. The Job Ready Decision was not documented.			
C. A valid IEAP or IWRP/IEAP was not included in the			
record.			
D. Contact was not established with the Veteran and			
documented to verify participation in planned			
employment services for a period of at least 30 days.			
E. The Veteran's case was not in JR status.			
19. Was the correct amount paid for each EAA award?	Yes	No	NA
A. CH31 rate: Incorrect fiscal year.			
B. CH31 rate: Incorrect number of dependents.			
C. P911SA rate: Inconsistent with rate paid for the type			
of training the Veteran was last pursuing (zip code,			
locality pay, capped vs. uncapped, etc.).			
D. P911SA rate: Incorrect rate paid when the Veteran last			
pursued training solely online.			
E. P911SA rate: incorrect rate for the CY or protected			
rate.			
20. Was EAA authorized timely?	Yes	No	N/A
21. When a denial of EAA occurred, was a valid justification	Yes	No	NA
provided and was the Veteran notified?			
A. No justification was documented.			
<ul> <li>B. Veteran was not notified of non-payment.</li> </ul>			
22. When the Veteran received additional payments of EAA,	Yes	No	NA
did documentation support this payment?			
A. Plan was not redeveloped with a new vocational goal.			
B. Documentation did not support payment of additional			
EAA due to natural disaster.			

□ Other Expenditures			
23. Were criteria for Retroactive Induction payment met?	Yes	No	NA
24. Were criteria for Retroactive Reimbursement met?	Yes	No	NA
25. Was Revolving Fund Loan authorized and paid correctly?	Yes	No	NA
A. The individual was not eligible to receive an RFL.			
<ul> <li>B. Required documentation was not present to support authorization of RFL.</li> </ul>			
26. Was beneficiary travel authorized and paid correctly?	Yes	No	NA
27. Were expenditures allowable?	Yes	No	NA
<ul> <li>A. The Veteran was receiving benefits under Chapter 31 and another VA Education program (duplication of benefits occurred).</li> </ul>			
B. Purchased items or services were not allowed.			
C. Duplicate payment, purchase, or reimbursement.			

<b>Reviews</b> (For tracking only. Not included in score calculation. Answering No does not result in an error.)			
28. Was the requested Higher-Level Review completed in an accurate and timely manner?	Yes	No	N/A
<ul> <li>A. The requested review was not completed accurately.</li> </ul>			

<ul> <li>B. The review was not completed in a timely manner (90 days or less from date of request).</li> </ul>			
C. The review was not completed by a more experienced VRC than the individual who made the decision.			
D. The review indicated a Duty to Assist error, but a Supplemental Claim Review was not initiated.			
<ul> <li>E. The requested informal conference was not provided.</li> </ul>			
29. Was the requested Supplemental Review completed in an accurate and timely manner?	Yes	No	N/A
<ul> <li>A. The requested review was not completed accurately.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>B. The review was not completed in a timely manner (125 days or less from date of request or identification of a Duty to Assist error).</li> </ul>			

□ <b>IPERA</b> (For tracking only. Not included in score. Answering No <u>does not</u> result in a fiscal error.)					
30. Was the correct amount paid?	Yes	No	N/A		
<ul> <li>An overpayment was made requiring correction or documentation of an Admin Error if appropriate. List amount if known.</li> </ul>					
B. An overpayment was made as a result of an Admin Error, which is correctly documented. List amount if known.					
C. An underpayment was made requiring correction. List amount if known.					

## General Comments: