## **Case Closure Accuracy (CCA) Instrument**

Question	Compliance		
All Case Closures			
<ol> <li>Was data entered correctly and consistently in the VR&amp;E record?</li> </ol>	Yes	No	NA
A. Placement date was not consistent.			
B. Employer information was not consistent.			
<ul> <li>C. Job title and duties were not consistent.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>D. Post-employment salary was not consistent.</li> </ul>			
E. Occupational code was not consistent.			
<ul> <li>F. Placement data was not entered in the electronic case management system or is inconsistent with the VR&amp;E record.</li> </ul>			
2. Was all required documentation present, signed, and dated if required, prior to case closure?	Yes	No	NA
Rehabilitation plan was not present in the VR&E record.			
B. Rehabilitation plan was not signed by the Veteran.			
C. Rehabilitation plan was not signed by the VRC.			
D. Rehabilitation plan was expired.			
<ul> <li>E. No copy of the diploma/certificate or transcript of records.</li> </ul>			
F. No job readiness declaration.			
G. No VAF 28-0851, Activities of Daily Living Checklist when the Veteran participated in services under an IILP.			
3. Did the case comply with regulatory time limits?	Yes	No	NA
Duration of employment services exceeded 18 months.			
<ul> <li>B. Duration of IL services exceeded 24 months (or concurred period of extension).</li> </ul>			
4. Were appropriate services provided to ensure the Veteran was able to participate in their rehabilitation plan and/or maintain suitable employment?	Yes	No	NA
<ul> <li>A. Rehabilitation plan was not adapted to changing circumstances.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>B. The Veteran was not provided post-employment services as needed.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The Veteran's identified needs were not addressed or intervention was not provided as needed.</li> </ul>			
5. Did follow-up documentation include required information?	Yes	No	NA
<ul> <li>A. Follow-up activities were not documented.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Follow-up documentation did not outline services provided to resolve issues encountered.</li> </ul>			
C. Attempts to contact the Veteran by all available means (letter, email, phone) were not documented.			
<ul> <li>D. Follow-up documentation did not discuss the Veteran's progress or adjustment to employment.</li> </ul>			
6. Was follow-up conducted for the appropriate length of time?	Yes	No	NA

A. Employment Rehabilitation: Length of follow-up was not at least 60 days.			
B. Temporary or Self-Employment Rehabilitation: Length of follow-up was not at least 1 year.			
C. Independent Living: Length of follow-up was not at least 60 days			
D. Discontinued or MRG: Length of follow-up was insufficient to demonstrate attempts to motivate Veteran to return to active participation the rehabilitation plan.			
7. Was follow-up conducted at the appropriate frequency?	Yes	No	NA
A. JR status: Monthly follow-up was not documented.			
B. INT status: Follow-up was not documented at least every 90 days for unplanned Interruption or per the documented schedule (at least every 120 days) for planned Interruption.			
C. IL status: Monthly follow-up was not documented.			
8. Did the closure statement clearly explain the decision to close the case, including all required information?	Yes	No	NA
A. There was no documented closure statement.			l .
B. The closure statement did not clearly explain the decision.			
Required information was not included in the closure statement.			
D. The closure statement did not include current circumstances.			
Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?	Yes	No	NA
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation	Yes	No	NA
Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?	Yes		NA
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the	Yes		NA
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance	Yes		NA NA
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.			
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  10. Was the correct Reason Code used?		□ □ No	
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  10. Was the correct Reason Code used?  A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.  B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not		□ □ No □	
9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?  A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.  10. Was the correct Reason Code used?  A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.  B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.		No	
<ul> <li>9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?</li> <li>A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>10. Was the correct Reason Code used?</li> <li>A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>C. The correct MRG Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>11. Was appropriate due process provided prior to</li> </ul>	Yes	No	NA
<ul> <li>9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?</li> <li>A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>10. Was the correct Reason Code used?</li> <li>A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>C. The correct MRG Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>11. Was appropriate due process provided prior to closure when required?</li> </ul>	Yes	No No No	NA
<ul> <li>9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?</li> <li>A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>10. Was the correct Reason Code used?</li> <li>A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>C. The correct MRG Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>11. Was appropriate due process provided prior to closure when required?</li> <li>A. The period of due process was not at least 30 days.</li> <li>B. The date on the due process letter was inconsistent</li> </ul>	Yes	No No No	NA
<ul> <li>9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure? <ul> <li>A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. Was the correct Reason Code used? <ul> <li>A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.</li> </ul> </li> <li>C. The correct MRG Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>11. Was appropriate due process provided prior to closure when required? <ul> <li>A. The period of due process was not at least 30 days.</li> <li>B. The date on the due process letter was inconsistent with the date the action was taken.</li> <li>C. Employment in occupation other than the goal outlined on the rehabilitation plan, but was not provided due</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes	No No No	NA
<ul> <li>9. Was VREO/AVREO concurrence provided prior to closure?</li> <li>A. VAF 28-0850, Checklist for Proposed Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>B. VAF 28-0962, Checklist for Proposed Self-Employment Rehabilitation was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>C. VAF 28-0853, Checklist for Proposed Discontinuance was not signed and dated by the VREO/AVREO.</li> <li>10. Was the correct Reason Code used?</li> <li>A. The correct Rehabilitation Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>B. The correct Discontinuance Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>C. The correct MRG Reason Code was not used.</li> <li>11. Was appropriate due process provided prior to closure when required?</li> <li>A. The period of due process was not at least 30 days.</li> <li>B. The date on the due process letter was inconsistent with the date the action was taken.</li> <li>C. Employment in occupation other than the goal outlined on the rehabilitation plan, but was not provided due process.</li> <li>D. Further education pursued, but was not provided due</li> </ul>	Yes	No No No	NA

G.	IILP objectives not complete, but was not provided due			
Н	process.  MRG reason code used for discontinuance, but was			
	not provided due process			
l.	Discontinued, but was not provided due process.			
	s a closure letter and appellate rights sent to the			
	eran, with the correct effective date and	Yes	No	NA
	planation or justification of the decision?			
	Notification of Rehabilitation Decision was not sent to the Veteran.			
В.	Notification of Discontinuance Decision was not sent to the Veteran.			
C.	Notification of MRG Decision was not sent to the Veteran.			
D.	The effective date was incorrect or missing from the closure letter.			
E.	The justification or explanation for the closure decision		П	
	was missing or unclear in the closure letter.			
F.	The Veteran was not provided appellate rights with the closure letter.			
☐ Rehabi				
	s the decision to close the case in Rehabilitated			
	tus correct based on documented evidence in the	Yes	No	NA
	ord?			
A.	Documented information did not support the decision			
В.	to move the case to Rehabilitated status.  Criteria for rehabilitation outlined in 38 CFR 21.283			
D.				
	were not met.			
□ <u>Discon</u>				
		Voc	No	NΙΔ
14. Wa	tinued s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?	Yes	No	NA
14. Wa	tinued s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision	Yes	No	NA
14. Wa sta A.	tinued s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.	Yes		NA
14. Wa sta A.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not	Yes		NA
14. Wasta	tinued s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.	Yes		NA
14. Wasta	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.	Yes		NA
14. Wasta	Is the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance	Yes		NA
14. Wasta	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C. D.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.	Yes		NA
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E. MRG	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.	Yes		NA NA
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.			
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.  Is the decision to Discontinue the case using an ance of the case to Discontinued status using an ance of the case to Discontinued status using an			
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.  MRG 15. Washing A.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.  Is the decision to Discontinue the case using an action of the case to Discontinued status using an MRG Reason Code.		D D D No	
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.  MRG 15. Washing A.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.  Is the decision to Discontinue the case using an Greason code correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status using an MRG Reason Code.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not		D D D No	
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.  MRG 15. WaMR A. B.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.  Is the decision to Discontinue the case using an G reason code correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status using an MRG Reason Code.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.		No	
14. Wasta A. B. C. D. E.  MRG 15. WaMR A. B.	s the decision to close the case in Discontinued tus correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not occur before the case was discontinued.  The Veteran indicated a desire to re-enter services, but this was not addressed.  IL needs were not evaluated prior to Discontinuance when achievement of a vocational goal was determined infeasible.  An extension was not considered prior to Discontinuance when the Veteran exhausted 48 months of entitlement or reached the 12-year termination date.  Is the decision to Discontinue the case using an Greason code correct?  Documented information did not support the decision to move the case to Discontinued status using an MRG Reason Code.  Sufficient attempts to motivate the Veteran did not		No	

Reviews (For tracking only. Not included in score calculation. Answering No does not result in an error.)					
16. Was the requested Higher-Level Review completed in an accurate and timely manner?	Yes	No	N/A		
A. The requested review was not completed accurately.					
B. The review was not completed in a timely manner (90 days or less from date of request).					
C. The review was not completed by a more experienced VRC than the individual who made the decision.					
D. The review indicated a Duty to Assist error, but a Supplemental Claim Review was not initiated.					
The requested review was not provided.					
17. Was the requested Supplemental Review completed in an accurate and timely manner?	Yes	No	N/A		
A. The requested review was not completed accurately.					
B. The review was not completed in a timely manner (125 days or less from date of request or identification of a Duty to Assist error).					
☐ General Comment					