# EP 930

# Practical Exercise

Identify whether the following scenarios regarding the use of EP 930 are appropriate or not by indicating either “Y” for Yes or “N” for NO

Y/N

1.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ You are asked to established an End Product 930 for a claims folder/eFolder that was returned back from STAR with an error.

2.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ An EP 930 should be established when an unadjudicated claim is found in the Veterans folders.

3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ You should use the claim label, *Correction of Local Quality Error*, when there is a missed issue or prematurely cleared EPs

4. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ In some cases it is appropriate to have and EP 930 pending as well as an EP 020 for a separate contention.

5. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ The correct date of claim for establishing EP 930 will be the calendar date in which you are CESTing the claim

6. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ A missed appeal should be controlled under an EP 930.

7. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ A request for reconsideration should be controlled under and EP 930.

8. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ It is appropriate to apply a 3rd digit modifier 1 to an EP 930 if the BDD End Product was prematurely cleared.

9. Review the scenario and answer the following question(s):

On March 1, 2015, a Veteran filed an original claim for service connection for bilateral hearing loss, tinnitus, right knee arthritis, and diabetes mellitus type II. A Rating Decision dated June 26, 2015 granted service connection for bilateral hearing loss and tinnitus, denied service connection for diabetes mellitus type II, and deferred the issue of right knee arthritis for a VA examination. The award was authorized on June 27, 2015, and the EP 110 was cleared.

1. Is an EP 930 warranted in this case? Why or Why not?

1. If an EP 930 is warranted, what is the date of claim?

1. If an EP 930 is warranted, what contention(s) should be listed in VBMS?

10. Review the scenario and answer the following question(s):

You are reviewing a mail packet in the portal. The Veteran indicates that his previous claim for PTSD submitted September 11, 2015 was missed in the recent rating decision dated March 1, 2016.

You review the 21-526 received September 11, 2015. The Veteran claimed service connection for multiple conditions to include: right knee condition, hypertension, skin condition, PTSD and migraine headaches.

You review the March 1, 2016 rating decision and find that the following issues were addressed: service connection for right knee strain, service connection for hypertension, service connection for onychomycosis (claimed as skin condition), and service connection for migraine headaches.

You agree with the Veteran that the issue of PTSD was not decided. What action do you take with the mail packet?

1. Is an EP 930 warranted in this case? Why or Why not?
2. If an EP 930 is warranted, what is the date of claim?
3. If an EP 930 is warranted, what contention(s) should be listed in VBMS?